

Amendments To The Drawings:

None.

Remarks

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated **September 8, 2008**. In the non-final claims 1-22 were rejected as anticipated by Graham US 2002/0178271.

Applicant respectfully traverse this rejection

§102(b) Rejection

In the Office Action, the Examiner raises an objection on the basis that the invention defined in the claims is considered to be anticipated by the disclosure in US 2002/0178271 (Graham).

Claim 1 of the current application specifies an access control system for controlling access to data stored on at least one data storage medium of a computing system which comprises, *inter alia*, for each authorized user, a master data access profile and a current access data access profile, the current data access profile being modifiable within parameters defined by the master data access profile.

In this way, it is possible to modify access parameters of a user during use of a computer system, for example according to the particular activity being carried out by the user and associated risk to the computer system, but only within the access parameters defined by the master data access profile. Accordingly, it is possible to maintain control over data access for each user by defining appropriate master access profiles, while allowing modification of a current access profile without any requirement to re-boot the computing system.

There is no disclosure of this arrangement in Graham. In Graham, there is disclosed a dynamic file access control and management system which is implemented as a proxy file management system. While this document may well disclose a type of access profile which is used to define levels of access for authorized users, there is no disclosure of providing **two data**

access profiles for each user, a master data access profile and a current data access profile modifiable within parameters defined by the master data access profile.

The Examiner refers to paragraph 111 of Graham in relation to this feature.

However, this paragraph refers only to maintenance of “content access policies”. There is no clear disclosure of a master access profile and a current access profile for each user as discussed above, as can be seen below in paragraph 111 from Graham:

[0111] 9) Policy Editor 344 —Included as part of the management services module 226 , all content access policies are maintained via the policy editor 344 . This service performs internal policy consistency validation, rights revocation, and synchronized policy updates. The definition of this service is determined largely by the definition of the supported policies identified below (in Policy).

In fact, the Graham reference does not discuss access profiles at all, much less two different copies for each user, a master data access profile and a current data access profile.

Claims 1-22 remain pending in this application. Because each of the independent claims 1, 11, 21 and 22 each require “**each data access profile including a master data access profile and a current data access profile**”, which is not disclosed in Graham, it is respectfully requested that the §102(b) rejection based on Graham be withdrawn.

The allowance of claims 1-22 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: February 8, 2009

By: /Richard A. Arrett/

Richard A. Arrett

Registration No.: 33153

6640 Shady Oak Dr., Suite 400

Eden Prairie, MN 55344-7834

Telephone: (952) 563-3000

Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\raa\13340us01_and_20090208.doc